UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,732	02/25/2004	James E. Haley	40030-10087	2743
21788 RYNDAK & S	7590 01/09/2008		EXAM	INER
200 W. MADI	200 W. MADISON STREET		CARTAGENA, MELVIN A	
SUITE 2100 CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,732	HALEY, JAMES E. CT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melvin A. Cartagena	3754
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
3) Since this application is in condition for a	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB e mailing date of this communication, even if the 1.17 October 2007. This action is non-final.	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). imely filed, may reduce any ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
A) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examplication Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Example Chaim(s) is/are is/are: a) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example Chaim(s) is/are: a) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example Chair may not request that any objection is contained to be supplied to be supplied to by the Example Chair may not request that any objection is objected to by the Example Chair may not request that any objection is objected to by the Example Chair may not request that any objection chair may not request that any objection chair may not request that any objection is objected to by the Example Chair may not request that any objection chair may not request	thdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. aminer. accepted or b) objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Experiment of the attached detailed Office action for the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Experiment of the priority document	uments have been received. Iments have been received in Ape priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date ıformal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 17, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It appears the cap claimed in line 1 of claim 14 is a double inclusion of the cap claimed in line 23 of claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-7, 20, 21, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3,762,612 to Miller.

Art Unit: 3754

Miller shows a bottle stopper as seen in Figs. 1-4, having a cylindrical body formed of a resilient material, a part to be inserted in the opening of a bottle, an upper part extending above an air passage 24, a pour opening 16 composed of a plurality of uniformly spaced apertures 29 that extend across substantially an entire cross section of the upper portion and encircles the air passage, a visual indicator 20 extending about 0.1 inches and having a slope of about 50 degrees that also forms an anti-drip spout, a sealing cap 30 that seals the pouring and air passage from the atmosphere. The device of Miller is used to pour product from a container.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 3,762,612 to Miller in view of US 4,128,189 to Baxter.

Miller shows all claimed features as discussed above except for the visual indicator being an indentation or color marking. Baxter shows a spout with protuberance 66 separated 180 degrees form the air passage 60, an indentation 78 and the use or covers of different colors, see column 3, lines 50-53. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use color to identify the pour spout in combination with an indentation and protuberance for and anti-drip spout feature indicating the proper pour orientation in the device of Miller as taught by Baxter in order to fit into a harmonious color style with the remainder of the container including the label on the container.

Art Unit: 3754

8. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 3,762,612 to Miller in view of US 5,228,603 to Pham et al.

Miller shows all claimed features as discussed above except for the filter material being of the same material as the spout and a flexible strand at about 120 degrees from the spout. Pham show a spout with a filter material 76 made of the same material as the spout and a flexible strand 312 at about 120 degrees from the spout 305. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of the Miller to include a filter made of the same material as the spout and a stand as taught by Pham to facilitate manufacture of the spout by making the spout and the filter in one molding process and having a strand to prevent misplacing the cap.

9. Claims 18, 19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 3,762,612 to Miller in view of US 2,812,113 to Beall.

Miller shows all claimed features as discussed above except for a visual indicator for verification of the relative position of the passage. Beall shows a visual indicator, seen in Fig. 9 as a level that reads "POUR" with an arrow indicating the pour direction at least 120 degrees from the air passage 106. It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Miller to include a visual indicator to facilitate the smooth and even flow of liquid during pouring and sharply cut off the flow of liquid from the container after cessation of the pouring operation as taught by Beall.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number:

10/786,732 Art Unit: 3754 Page 5

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lee shows a vented pouring spout with filter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin A. Cartagena whose telephone number is (571) 272-4924. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (7:30AM to 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MAC 1/4/02

MAC

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700